



Ethylene Impact on Grapevine Pistil Temperature and Fruit Set

Christian Chervin¹ · Olivier Geffroy²

Received: 3 August 2022 / Accepted: 2 February 2023

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2023

Abstract

Ethylene is known to stimulate plant respiration, and this later is associated with heat generation. The grapevine fruit set is dependent upon pistil temperature, controlling the pollen germination and ovule fertilization. This led us to test whether ethylene would be able to impact fruit set often limited in cool climate conditions, particularly in the case of *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Malbec, a variety prone to poor fruit set. With this cultivar, we ran trials in growth chambers using the ethylene gas and field trials using ethephon as an ethylene precursor. At 14.5 °C ambient temperature, we observed that ethylene at 1 and 10 ppm increased the stigma and pistil temperature to 15 °C, compared to 14.7 °C in controls. This minor temperature rise was associated with an increase of fruit set from 10 to 25%. The field trials, conducted in the South West of France, confirmed this trend. Indeed, spraying a concentration as low as 216 mg/ha of ethephon onto Malbec at full bloom at 16 °C in the shade led to an increase of fruit set from 35 to 45%. These experiments suggest that spraying ultra-low concentrations of ethylene precursors onto the grapevines prone to poor fruit set, during cool mornings, could improve fruit set and reduce crop losses.

Keywords Fertilization · Berry · Ethephon · *Vitis vinifera* L.

Introduction

The grapevine yield is obviously linked to flower physiology and fruit set. For such development stages, the temperature is critical (Vasconcelos et al. 2009). These authors list three stages among others, which are particularly sensitive to variations in temperature with a critical impact on fruit set: (i) the pollen germination, (ii) the pollen tube growth within the style, and (iii) the fertilization of the ovule. The optimal temperatures for such stages are cultivar dependent, but an optimal range of 20 to 30 °C has been observed (Kozma 2003). Small temperature rises, from 15.5 to 17.5 °C during the flowering time, may lead to major increases in bunch weight, from 48 to 78 g (Vasconcelos et al. 2009). The grape cultivar Malbec, also named Cot, is known for having poor

fruit set (Carrillo et al. 2020), with a fruit set around 20% with morning temperatures around 10 °C and afternoon temperatures around 30 °C.

The ethylene is a key plant hormone involved in fruit set (An et al. 2020), as it regulates plant pollination and fertilization processes. It finely modulates the pollen tube growth through modifications of cell wall and calcium gradient (Althiab-Almasaud et al. 2021). One aspect that has not been described in the two latter articles is the role of ethylene on organ temperature. In particular, it is well known that ethylene impairs the energy yield of respiration through the induction of the alternate oxidase pathway and uncoupling proteins (Wang et al. 2012; Hewitt and Dhingra 2020), turning it into a thermogenic process (Moynihan et al. 1995). This respiration burst generating heat may be part of the plant response to chilling stress.

Thus, we studied whether ethylene was able to regulate fruit set by modulating the pistil temperature, in a controlled cool environment using fruiting cuttings. Then, we tested whether the ethylene precursor, 2-chloroethylphosphonic acid, also called ethephon, was able to improve fruit set of Malbec clusters in a vineyard during cool mornings.

Handling Editor: Peter Poor.

✉ Christian Chervin
chervin@ensat.fr

¹ Laboratoire de Recherches en Sciences Végétales (LRVS), CNRS/UPS/INP-ENSAT, Université de Toulouse, BP 32607, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan Cedex, France

² Physiologie, Pathologie et Génétique Végétales (PPGV), INP-PURPAN, Université de Toulouse, 75 voie du TOEC, BP 57611, 31076 Toulouse Cedex 3, France

Materials and Methods

Growth Chamber Trials

Growth Chamber and Fruiting Cuttings Set-up

The fruiting cuttings were generated as previously detailed (Ollat et al. 1998; Geny et al. 1998), using Malbec (*Vitis vinifera* L.) clone 595 woods collected at the experimental station of Institut Français de la Vigne et du Vin, Lisle sur Tarn (South West of France) in February 2019. Inflorescences on fruiting cuttings (Supplemental Fig. S1A) were obtained by sequentially removing the first appearing leaves to limit the sink competition between vegetative and fruiting organs (Mullins and Rajasekaran 1981; Ollat et al. 1998). One particularity of this plantlet model is that each fruiting bears one single inflorescence. The growing conditions were 17 °C/7 °C day/night for 16 h/8 h, the day light intensity was 250 ± 50 µmol/m²/s, and the relative humidity was oscillating from 35 to 65% (day/night). Batches of six plantlets were selected for the ethylene treatments as their flowers were between full bloom, stage 23, and 80% caps off, stage 25 (Coombe 1995) (Supplemental Fig. S1B).

Ethylene Treatments

The fruiting cuttings were incubated for 1 h at 14.5 °C in four crates as shown in Supplemental Fig. S1C. The temperature was measured inside the crates using thermocouple thermometers (Votcraft, PL-120-T1, Conrad, France) over the treatment time. With the exception of the control treatment, ethylene gas was injected at 0.1, 1, and 10 ppm through the crate lid, using a hole performed with a hot needle. The ethylene concentration inside the crate was assayed by sampling gas just after closing, and before opening at the end of the incubation. The ethylene was measured by gas chromatography, exactly as in Chen et al. (2020). A total of 40 Malbec fruiting cuttings were used in order to generate a set of data representing 4 batches of 10 inflorescences. The crates used for ethylene gassing contained 6 fruiting cuttings (Supplemental Fig. S1C), and the gassing experiments were repeated two times in order to treat 12 fruiting cuttings, one inflorescence by cutting. Crates were permuted to limit position bias. Over the remaining month of berry set and growth, some inflorescences showed development failure for all the studied treatments as a likely consequence of a bad rooting. Thus, we finally ended up with 4 batches of 10 inflorescences, 1 batch per treatment.

Measurements of Pistil Temperature

Six fruiting cuttings were randomly chosen among the 12 treated cuttings for each treatment and were transferred in

front of the camera within 30 min after the crate opening. Images of each inflorescence were taken using an A325sc thermal camera (FLIR Systems, Inc., Croissy-Beaubourg, France) equipped with a close-up lens (1 × 100 µm, FLIR Systems, Inc.). The camera had an angular field of view of 25° and a 320 × 240 microbolometer sensor, sensitive in the temperature range from − 20 to 120 °C, with a thermal sensitivity below 0.05 °C. The distance between the camera and the pistil was 79 mm.

The images were analyzed in the following day using the FLIR ResearchIR software (Supplemental Fig. S1D1, D2). For each chosen inflorescence, three pistils were defined as regions of interest to determine average temperature and standard deviation, generating six batches of three pistils. Each batch corresponded to an inflorescence from a different fruiting cutting. The pistils were chosen according to the quality of picture focus.

The fruiting cuttings were then left in the growth chamber for one month, to later assess the fruit set.

Fruit Set Assay

The fruit set is expressed as a percentage of flowers giving rise to a berry, for a chosen inflorescence. For the growth chamber trial, the number of flowers on one inflorescence was counted manually on pictures, before the treatment and the number of berries on one cluster was also counted manually on pictures 30 days after.

Field Trials

Vineyard and Experimental Set-up

Grapevine trials were set-up in the Cahors area (South West of France) in a private wine estate growing the Malbec cultivar, clone 598, onto the Riparia Gloire de Montpellier rootstock. The vines were 20 years old, and the plantation density was 5000 vines/ha. Six blocks of six vines were randomly spread over an experimental plot of 16 rows of 36 m each. One row was kept free of treatment between each treated row to prevent spray drift. The treatment took place in early June 2021, between full bloom and 80% caps off, as described in 1.1, with a morning temperature of 16 °C in the shade. Five inflorescences were tagged (Supplemental Fig. S1E) in each block, thus leading to 30 replicated measurements of fruit set per treatment. The inflorescence length was measured the day prior the treatments in order to estimate the number of flowers as explained in Sect. “Field Trials.”

Treatments and Fruit Set Assay

Ethephon (Sierra®, Bayer SAS, Lyon, France) was sprayed on the vines at a rate of 110 L/ha, using a Cifarelli backpack mist blower (Supplemental Fig. S1F). Ethephon was sprayed at three various final concentrations after dilution with water: 1.8, 18, and 180 mg/L. Controls were sprayed with water alone.

The percentage of fruit set was measured 30 days later. A regression between inflorescence length and flower number had been calculated using 25 inflorescences, as proposed by Ollat (1997), collected on a row adjacent to our experimental plot just before performing the treatments. At the treatment time, this enables to estimate the number of flowers by only measuring the length of all inflorescences. Then, 30 days after the treatment, the clusters were sampled and the number of berries was determined manually.

Statistical analyses

Shapiro–Wilk and Brown–Forsythe tests were used to estimate distribution normality and variance homogeneity, respectively, and then Student or Welch *t* tests to compare means were performed using the Sigmaplot software v 14.5 (<https://ritme.com/en/>).

Results and Discussion

Ethylene Treatments Increased Pistil Temperature

As shown in Fig. 1, the temperature of the pistils increased up to a maximal value of 15 °C that was significantly higher than the control, after 1 h of ethylene treatment at 1 ppm in a crate at 14.5 °C. The other ethylene treatments also led to an increase in pistil temperature, although non-significant, but the trend is obvious. Thus, this shows that ethylene at very low concentrations can increase temperature of a plant organ. This may occur through the stimulation of the alternative oxidase pathway (Hewitt and Dhingra 2020; Wang et al. 2012), but this was not demonstrated here, as our study mainly focused on the effect on fruit set. This could be a prospect for future studies. Even if the temperature increase due to 1 ppm of ethylene seems tiny (+0.25 °C in comparison to controls), it must be highlighted that this rise is the result of only 1-h incubation. And Vasconcelos et al. (2009) have shown that +2 °C at full bloom led to an increase in cluster weight by 40%. The advantage of thermal camera was the non-destructive aspect of this measure, which allowed to keep the fruiting cuttings in the growth chamber to later observe the berries and calculate the fruit set. Additionally, it must be noted that the temperature rise following ethylene treatments lasted at least 30 min after crate opening, time to




Fig. 1 Changes in Malbec pistil temperature induced by exposure to exogenous ethylene at various concentrations in the growth chamber. *n*=6 batches of 3 pistils, error bars show SE, and the * shows a significant difference between control and ethylene-treated inflorescences by Student's *t* test at 5%

measure the pistil temperature of several inflorescences following a treatment batch. It would be interesting to further test for how long the pistil temperature rise is observable after treatment completion. Globally, each fruiting cutting stood around 2 h at 14.5 °C before returning to the growth chamber. As additional experiments, various cold stress temperatures and durations could be tested.

Ethylene Treatments Increased Fruit Set

Figure 2 shows that the two highest concentrations of ethylene, 1 and 10 ppm, increased fruit set from 8 to 25%. These percentages are quite low, for both control and ethylene-treated inflorescences, but these are due to several factors. (i) Malbec is naturally a cultivar with low fruit set percentages (Carrillo et al. 2020), (ii) we used cool growing conditions in order to induce poor fruit set, and (iii) fruiting cuttings are known to harbor a fruit set rate inferior to the rate observed in vineyards (Geny et al. 1998).

We cannot be certain that the threefold increase in fruit set (Fig. 2) is only related to the tiny increase in pistil temperature, as other metabolisms may be activated by ethylene (Althiab-Almasaud et al. 2021). For example, the ethylene effect on fruit set may involve some promotion of functional pollen maturation as observed by Völz et al. (2013) and/or increased fecundation (An et al. 2020). However, as previously shown, a small increase in temperature at flowering time can generate large increase in cluster weight due to better fruit set (Vasconcelos et al. (2009).

These observations were performed in the growth chamber, in a very controlled environment, because minimal pistil temperature shifts are easier to measure than in the field. In




Fig. 2 Changes in Malbec fruit set rate induced by exposure to exogenous ethylene at various concentrations in the growth chamber. $n=4$ batches of 10 inflorescences, each inflorescence on a different fruiting cutting, error bars show SE, and the * shows a significant difference between control and ethylene-treated cuttings by Welch's t test at 5%




Fig. 3 Changes in Malbec fruit set rate induced by spraying ethephon (2-chloroethylphosphonic acid) at various concentrations in the field trial. $n=30$ inflorescences, each inflorescence on a different grapevine, error bars show SE, and the * shows a significant difference between control and ethylene-treated clusters by Welch's t test at 5%

outdoor conditions, the thermal camera is harder to operate due to light pollution and wind, which impact image capture and temperature measurements.

Ultra-low Concentrations of Ethephon Increased Fruit Set in the Vineyard

During a preliminary set of experiments conducted in 2020 (unshown data), we observed that the optimal ethephon concentrations to test in the vineyard were between 1 and 200 mg/L in water.

The results obtained in the vineyard in 2021 are shown in Fig. 3. An ultra-low concentration of 1.8 mg/L of ethephon led to a significant increase in fruit set rate from 35 to 45%. While increasing the ethephon concentration to 18 or 180 mg/L led to increased rate of fruit set too, but smaller than with 1.8 mg/L and non-significant. Such impact, although it remains weak in intensity, could lead to a yield enhancement and have a positive effect on the economic balance of wine estates. This is particularly true given the expected low cost for this application. Ethephon is usually applied at a high concentration, approximately 1800 to 3600 mg/L, to perform chemical thinning (Weaver and Pool 1971; Ferrara et al. 2016). The ultra-low concentration usage would allow to cover approximately 1000 ha with a flask of 1 L of commercial ethephon solution at 180 g/L of ethephon.

The fact that opposite effects are observed according to the applied ethephon concentration is not surprising. By “opposite” we mean that low concentrations improve fruit set, while high concentrations cause thinning. Such response is a classical “hormonal response” with stimulation of a metabolism at low concentration and inhibition of the same

metabolism when the concentration increases (Weyers et al. 1995).

Conclusion

We observed that ultra-low concentrations of ethylene, or ethylene precursor, can improve fruit set rate in cool conditions on Malbec, a cultivar usually leading to poor fruit set. There were several physiological evidences for this to happen: ethylene is known to boost alternative oxidase, alternative oxidase is thermogenic, and flower fertilization is temperature sensitive. However, the direct link between low ethylene concentrations and better fruit set rate had never been demonstrated. Additional experiments comparing a slight temperature increase to ethylene gassing could be an interesting test to separate effects by temperature and ethylene. And the critical period and duration of ethylene exposure could be further tested.

Practices such as girdling may improve fruit set (Tyagia et al. 2020 and references herein), but they are time consuming and not economically adapted for numerous vineyards. Ethylene at large concentrations is well known to produce chemical thinning (Weaver and Pool 1971), but to our knowledge, no research was conducted at ultra-low concentration.

As previously underlined, we did not decipher the whole process, as we firstly focused on the main output, that is improving fruit set, but, as outlined in discussion, the possible alternative targets of ethylene are numerous, from anther and pollen maturation to pollen tube growth and pistil effects (An et al. 2019). Thus, decrypting the various metabolisms

involved remains an open area of research. Our results and prior studies suggest that further researches should include assays of alternative oxidases, due to the link between these enzymes and the thermogenic processes in plants (Moynihan et al. 1995; Wagner et al. 2008). Additionally, testing ultra-low concentrations of ethephon with other cultivars would be of interest. Finally, our observations could lead to new developments for ethephon at ultra-low concentrations.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-023-10942-z>.

Acknowledgements The authors are thankful to Nathalie Ollat and Jean-Pierre Petit for their help to set up the fruiting cuttings; Lauriane Heissat for the set-up of the growth chamber at the E.I. Purpan; Maxime Thorin, student at ENSAT, for running the fruit set trial in the vineyard; and Cédric Blanc and Domaine Lagrezette, for allowing the trials to be performed in the domain vineyard. Thanks to Toulouse INP for the Interlabs grant that helped funding this study.

Author Contributions Both authors designed and performed the experiments and wrote the manuscript.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- An J, Althiab-Almasaud R, Bouzayen M, Zouine M, Chervin C (2019) Auxin and ethylene regulation of fruit set. *Plant Sci* 292:110381. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.110381>
- Althiab-Almasaud R, Chen Y, Maza E, Djari A, Frasse F, Mollet JC, Mazars C, Jamet J, Chervin C (2021) Ethylene signaling modulates tomato pollen tube growth through modifications of cell wall remodeling and calcium gradient. *Plant J* 107:893–908. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tjp.15353>
- Carrillo N, Piccoli P, Bottini R, Rodríguez J, Berli F (2020) Girdling of shoots at flowering reduces shatter in grapevine cv. Malbec. *Aust J Grape Wine Res* 26:102–109. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tjp.15353>
- Chen Y, Althiab Almasaud R, Carrie E, Desbrosses G, Binder B, Chervin C (2020) Ethanol, at physiological concentrations, affects ethylene sensing in tomato germinating seeds and seedlings. *Plant Sci* 291:110368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2019.110368>
- Coombe BG (1995) Adoption of a system for identifying grapevine growth stages. *Aust J Grape Wine Res* 1:104–110. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0238.1995.tb00086.x>
- Ferrara G, Mazzeo A, Matarrese AMS, Pacucci C, Trani A, Fidelibus MW, Gambacorta G (2016) Ethephon as a potential abscission agent for table grapes: effects on pre-harvest abscission, fruit quality, and residue. *Front Plant Sci* 7:620. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00620>
- Geny L, Ollat N, Soyer JP (1998) Grapevine fruiting cuttings: validation of an experimental system to study grapevine physiology. II. Study of grape development. *J Int Sci Vigne Vin* 32:83–90. <https://doi.org/10.20870/oeno-one.1998.32.2.1052>
- Hewitt S, Dhingra A (2020) Beyond ethylene: new insights regarding the role of alternative oxidase in the respiratory climacteric. *Front Plant Sci* 11:543958. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.543958>
- Kozma P (2003) Exploration of flower types in grapes. In: Kozma P et al (eds) *Floral biology pollination and fertilisation in temperate zone fruit species and grape*. Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, pp 75–226
- Moynihan MR, Ordentlich A, Raskin I (1995) Chilling-induced heat evolution in plants. *Plant Physiol* 108:995–999. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.3.995>
- Mullins MJ, Rajasekaran K (1981) Fruiting cuttings: revised method for producing test plants of grapevine cultivars. *Am J Enol Vitic* 32:35–40
- Ollat N, Geny L, Soyer JP (1998) Grapevine fruiting cuttings: validation of an experimental system to study grapevine physiology. I. Main vegetative characteristics. *J Int Sci Vigne Vin* 32:1–9. <https://doi.org/10.20870/oeno-one.1998.32.1.1061>
- Ollat N (1997) Bases physiologiques et anatomiques de la croissance des baies de *Vitis vinifera* cv. Cabernet sauvignon. Doctoral Dissertation Montpellier, ENSA
- Tyagia K, Maoz I, Lewinsohn E, Lerno L, Ebeler SE, Lichter A (2020) Girdling of table grapes at fruit set can divert the phenylpropanoid pathway towards accumulation of proanthocyanidins and change the volatile composition. *Plant Sci* 296:110495. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2020.110495>
- Vasconcelos M, Greven M, Winefield C, Trought M, Raw V (2009) The flowering process of *Vitis vinifera*: a review. *Am J Enol Vitic* 60:411–434
- Völz R, Heydlauff J, Ripper D, von Lyncker L, Groß-Hardt R (2013) Ethylene signaling is required for synergid degeneration and the establishment of a pollen tube block. *Dev Cell* 25:310–316. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2013.04.001>
- Wagner AM, Krab K, Wagner MJ, Moore AL (2008) Regulation of thermogenesis in flowering Araceae: the role of the alternative oxidase. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1777:993–1000. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabo.2008.04.001>
- Wang H, Huang J, Liang X, Bi Y (2012) Involvement of hydrogen peroxide, calcium, and ethylene in the induction of the alternative pathway in chilling-stressed *Arabidopsis* callus. *Planta* 235:53–67. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-011-1488-7>
- Weyers JDB, Paterson NW, A'Brook R, Peng Z-Y (1995) Quantitative analysis of the control of physiological phenomena by plant hormones. *Physiol Plant* 95:486–494. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1995.tb00867.x>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.